	Case 3:16-cv-00118-RCJ-WGC Document 8	Filed 09/01/16	Page 1 of 3
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	HAROLD E. HARTER,		
10	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:1	16-cv-00118-RCJ-WGC
11 12	V.		Order
13	E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16			
17			
18	I. DISCUSSION		
19	On July 18, 2016, the Court issued an order screening Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No		
20	3). The screening order dismissed Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice and granted Plaintiff		
21	thirty days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 3 at 6:26-7:13). Plaintiff subsequently filed		
22	a motion to stay the screening order (ECF No. 5) that the Court construed as a motion for		
23	reconsideration. On August 30, 2016, the Court issued an order denying Plaintiff's motion to		
24	stay the original screening order (ECF No. 7). In light of the Court's denial of Plaintiff's motion		
25	for stay, the Court now grants Plaintiff an extension to file his amended complaint, if any.		
26	Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies of the		
27	complaint. If Plaintiff chooses to file an amended complaint he is advised that an amended		
28	Page 1 of 3		

complaint supersedes the original complaint and, thus, the amended complaint must be complete in itself. See Hal Roach Studios, Inc. v. Richard Feiner & Co., Inc., 896 F.2d 1542, 1546 (9th Cir. 1989) (holding that "[t]he fact that a party was named in the original complaint is irrelevant; an amended pleading supersedes the original"); see also Lacey v. Maricopa Cnty., 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th Cir. 2012) (holding that for claims dismissed with prejudice, a plaintiff is not required to reallege such claims in a subsequent amended complaint to preserve them for appeal). Plaintiff's amended complaint must contain all claims, defendants, and factual allegations that Plaintiff wishes to pursue in this lawsuit. Moreover, Plaintiff must file the amended complaint on this Court's approved prisoner civil rights form and it must be entitled "First Amended Complaint."

The Court notes that if Plaintiff chooses to file an amended complaint curing the deficiencies of the complaint, as outlined in the original screening order (ECF No. 3) and the Court's order denying Plaintiff's motion to stay (ECF No. 7), Plaintiff shall file the amended complaint within fourteen (14) days from the date of entry of this order. If Plaintiff chooses not to file an amended complaint curing the stated deficiencies, this action shall be dismissed without prejudice.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that in light of the Court's order denying Plaintiff's motion to stay the original screening order (ECF No. 7), the Court grants Plaintiff an extension to file an amended complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff chooses to file an amended complaint curing the deficiencies of his complaint, as outlined in the original screening order (ECF No. 3) and the Court's order denying Plaintiff's motion to stay (ECF No. 7), Plaintiff shall file the amended complaint within fourteen (14) days from the date of entry of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff chooses to file an amended complaint, he must use the approved form sent to him with the Court's original screening order and he shall write the words "First Amended" above the words "Civil Rights Complaint" in the caption.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff chooses not to file an amended complaint curing the stated deficiencies of the complaint, this action shall be dismissed without prejudice. DATED: September 1, 2016. W.Ju., G. Cobb United States Magistrate Judge

Page 3 of 3